UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND BUSINESS DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT, CHOBA PORT HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE NIGERIA VOL. 3 NO. 2 JUNE 2016

ACCRUALS QUALITY AND RETURN ON ASSETS OF OIL COMPANIES IN NIGERIA

IBANICHUKA, EMMANUEL AMAPS, PhD. Department of Accounting Faculty of Management Sciences University of Port Harcourt Rivers State, Nigeria. Email: emmanuelibanichuka@yahoo.com

And

BRIGGS, OKORITE ISAAC Department of Accounting Faculty of Management Sciences University of Port Harcourt Rivers State, Nigeria. Email isaacbriggs5@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The study examines the relationship between Accruals Quality (AQ) and Return on Assets of Oil companies in Nigeria. The cross sectional research design was adopted for the study. Data collected were analysed using the Pearson Product Moment Correlation Coefficient and Regression Techniques with the aid of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. Further data analysis was supported with the Guilford Model and tests of normality were duly carried out. Results indicate that there is no correlation and an insignificant relationship between accruals quality and return on assets. Members of oil firms (Management and Directors) should not be absolved of improved scrutiny as it is their responsibility to avail users of financial statements with adequate disclosures. This can be ensured through the enforcement of appropriate retributive measures on defaulting firms. Keywords: Accruals Quality, Return on Assets, Financial Reporting.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of financial reporting cannot be over-emphasized, even in cross-border capital transfer. "Creditors decide whether to lend or not and establish contractual terms, namely interest rates, considering accounting figures" (Gopalakrishan, 1994; Weber & Beatty, 2003). In addition, better and improved performance, financial condition and internal control are of paramount importance to management hence, the review of the organization's financial

Performance can help them achieve this goal. On the one hand "higher accounting quality mitigates financial information asymmetry through increase in investment efficiency" (Verdi, 2006) also, "through earnings effectively representing future cash flows" (Garcia-Teruel, Martinez-Solano & Sanchez- Ballesta, 2009). Lopes, Antonio and Brandao (2011) studied the impact of accounting quality on firm performance in the form of Return on Assets (ROA). However, using abnormal earnings methodology to evaluate only ROA does not effectively evaluate the firm performance phenomenon. Adeyemi & Asaolu (2013) examined financial reporting in relation to organizational stability which was also defined by both ROA and liquidity as conducted by Lopes et al (2011) and Nwanyanwu (2013).

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Financial information quality in Nigeria has been established as weak compared to other developed and developing countries. This has ultimately hampered the development of equity market that can be described as efficient. "A usual complaint among Nigerian investors is that financial reporting on firm's performance is usually unavailable or, when published, lacks reliability" (Shehu, 2011). Due to the critical nature of financial performance, it behoves on us to analyse the extent to which financial performance can be enhanced in the oil sector through the province of accruals quality.

The aim of the study is to examine the influence of Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) in terms of accruals quality; on Financial Performance of Oil Companies in Nigeria. However, the specific objective include to: Ascertain the impact of Accruals Quality on Return on Asset

RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

The research hypothesis to be tested for the purpose of the study includes the following:

H₀ Accruals Quality does not make a significant contribution to the Return on Asset of Oil Companies in Nigeria.

LITERATURE SURVEY

Theoretical Framework

Opportunistic Theory

The firm performance variables could have an effect on quality of financial reports as explained by the opportunist theory. By definition, opportunistic earnings management is a term that is used to refer to self-interested managerial reporting behaviour that is undesirable from a shareholder's perspective. A widely held belief in the literature is that earnings management is primarily opportunistic and it hampers earnings quality. Indeed, many studies have used discretionary accrual measures of earnings quality as negative proxies of earnings quality (Myers, Myers & Omer 2003; Defond and Park 2004; Schipper and Vincent, 2003). If earnings management were primarily opportunistic, it is reasonable to posit that such behavior would adversely affect both relevance and reliability. In this case, constraining earnings management behavior via effective internal reporting controls and auditing should benefit

UNIPORTJAB

Shareholders. To the extent that opportunistic earnings management impairs predictive ability, less of it should arguably increase the predictive ability of earnings components.

EFFICIENT CONTRACTING THEORY

Bowen, Rajagopal and Venkatachalam (2008) asserted that "the efficient contracting theory associates managers to exercising accounting discretion in an efficient manner such that in the long run, firm value is maximized". The earlier discussed theory (opportunistic), suggests that "managers have a short-term self-interest as an incentive to form poor firm structure to manage earnings for their own benefit" (Klein (2002). On the other hand, agency theory stresses that the independence of directors and institutional shareholding have a positive correlation in relation to adequate quality of earnings. The efficient contracting theory establishes a positive correlation between financial reporting discretion; future organizational performance and financial reporting quality.

For the purpose of this study, the opportunistic theory is selected to link structure variables with financial reporting quality and agency theory to frame monitoring variables with financial reporting quality, while the financial reporting quality and performance variables will be anchored on efficiency contracting theory.

EMPIRICAL FRAMEWORK

Jensen (1986) investigated the financial reporting quality and found that financial reporting quality correlates with the firms' characteristics in the form of investment, financial structures, auditing quality and financial leverage. Lambert et al., (2007) and Bushman and Smith (2001) studied the relationship between FRQ and investments. They concluded that increase in the FRQ improves the efficiency of investments. Li Feng (2010) examined the impact of financial reporting quality on investment costs, information uncertainty and agency and found that high-quality financial reporting reduced investment and agency costs (Fengchen et al., 2010). Stiglitz (1981) is of the view that "due to economic facilities used to produce and store information, large firms tend to pay more on information acquisition". He further revealed that information disclosure in large organizations were more than in small firms.

ACCRUALS QUALITY

Accruals Quality has been identified as the accuracy with which financial reporting portrays information about a company's operations, particularly in terms of its expected cash flows. Accruals quality for this study is estimated using the Jones (1991) model as adjusted by Dechow and Dichev (2002). This procedure was as put forward by McNichols (2002). It is expressed as follows:

Accruals_{i,t} = CashFlowi, t-1 + CashFlow_{i,t} + CashFlow_{i,t+1}+

 $\Delta \text{Revenue}_{i,t} + \text{PPE}_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$

Where Accruals = $(\Delta CA - \Delta Cash) - (\Delta CL - \Delta STD) - Dep$,

 $\Delta CA = Change in current assets,$

 $\Delta Cash = Change in cash/cash equivalents,$

 $\Delta CL = Change in current liabilities,$

 Δ STD = Change in short-term debt,

Dep = Depreciation and amortization expense,

CashFlow = Net income before extraordinary items minus Accruals

ΔRevenue= Change in revenue, and

PPE = Gross property, plant, and equipment.

Return on Assets

Return on assets has elicited huge interest from researchers over time. Thus, David Lindo believes that "Return on Assets (ROA) is the general purpose financial ratio used to measure the relationship of profit earned to the investment in assets required to earn that profit. The ROA percent is a baseline that can be used to measure the profit contribution required from new investments. As such, it identifies the rate of return needed to at least maintain current performance and can be used to establish the hurdle rates all new investments must meet for approval" (Lindo, 2008). Gallinger (2001) asserted that "indicators such as the return on sales, financial leverage, interest expenses and return on equity allows for analyzing a company's asset management and the opportunity to redeploy the assets in the future". He stressed that "the return of a firm is influenced by many factors. Knowing these factors is important primarily for the company management, to adopt appropriate measures of growth, and to perform short or long term forecasts. Also, knowing the relationship of dependence between the return and the factors of influence is important for investors, creditors and for other categories of stakeholders who have different interests about the firm".

RESEARCH METHODS

Trochim (2006) regards research design as "the blueprint for the collection, measurement and analysis of data". This study adopts the cross sectional field survey of the quasi-experimental research design. This is because it intends to study the interaction of the variables in the study population (Baridam, 2001).

POPULATION OF THE STUDY

Population of the study is the collection of the elements from which the sample of that population is drawn (Anyanwu, 2000). Consequently, the population of study includes companies engaged in the oil and gas sectors based on the classification of the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The population includes 14 firms in the oil sub-sector of the oil and gas sector quoted on the Stock Exchange as at 31st December, 2014. The 2014 financial year was adopted because this study covers a period of 5 years beginning from 2010. Thus, since the firms are 12 in number and less than 30 (that is, n<30, the census approach is used to select the identified firms). Therefore, there is no need for sampling procedure and sample size determination

SAMPLE AND SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

The period chosen for this study is 5 years beginning from 2010 to 2014? As stated earlier, the population includes the fourteen (14) Oil & Gas companies listed on the Nigerian

UNIPORTJAB

VOL. 3 NO. 2

Stock Exchange. However, twelve (12) were selected for the study covering the five years period. The twelve companies selected met the following selection criteria:

- 1. Availability of all required data for computation of financial reporting quality (FRQ)
- 2. Complete audited financial statements for the required period of five years beginning from 2010.

TEST OF VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY

The study used secondary sources from the Financial Database of 2015 from quoted companies of the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). These records are audited and validated. Thus, there is no need for validity and reliability tests.

MODEL SPECIFICATIONS

The functional and operational relationship of the criterion and predictor variables of the study is as expressed below:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{ROA} & = & \mathsf{f}(\mathsf{AQ}) \\ \mathsf{AQ} & = & \mathsf{Accruals}\ \mathsf{Quality} \\ \mathsf{ROA} & = & \mathsf{Return}\ \mathsf{on}\ \mathsf{Assets} \\ \lambda_1 = \beta \mathsf{O} + \beta \mathsf{1AQ} + \mathsf{ut} \dots 1 \end{array}$

Where;

 Λ_1 = Return on Assets (ROA); (Criterion Variable)

 $\beta 0 = Constant$

β1= Coefficient of Accruals Quality (AQ); (Predictor Variable)

ut = Error term.

The tests for normality include the test for Collinearity and test for the presence of heterostadasticity. Detection of heterostadasticity was done through the observation of the scatter plot of the standardized residuals; the Breusch-Pagan test and the White's Test.

DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

The hypothesis propounded in the present study was tested by the use of the regression analysis and Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient (PPMC) statistical tool. According to Dana, (2001), the PPMC measures the strength of relationship or going togetherness of the two sets of data with coefficient of correlation that ranges between -1 and +1, and it is symbolized as r.

The regression model specification will be used to assess the relationships between variables, and examine how changes in levels of X (predictor variable) relate to change in levels of Y (criterion variable) (Baridam, 2001).

The values for calculations will be done using either t-test or z-test. In sampling analysis, if the values for calculation are more than 30, the z-test statistical tool is used. However, if the values are below 30, the t-test statistical tool is applied. The coefficient of correlation is denoted as r. The study adopted the use of version 22.0 of the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) as an aid to test the hypothesis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The outcomes of the tested hypothesis in the research were interpreted based on Guilford (1954) correlation decision framework, to pad up the SPSS output on the test of hypotheses. Under the model, we have:

0.01	-	0.20	Neural Significance
0.21	-	0.30	Slight Significance
0.31	-	0.40	Moderate Significance
0.41	-	0.60	Very Significant
0.61	-	1.00	Perfect Significance

The hypothesis is tested so as to empirically assess the correlation between the predictor variable and criterion variable (Baridam, 2001).

TEST OF HYPOTHESIS

HO: Accruals quality does not have a significant relationship with Return on Assets.

Variables	Minimum	Maximu	Mean	Std.
		m		Deviation
Accruals	-40.75	854.99	96.8063	178.94570
Quality				
ROA	-1.27	28.16	.4665	3.64295

Table 4.2A gave the outcomes of the descriptive statistics of the mean and standard deviation for each variable of Accruals Quality and Return on Assets. Table 4.2A further reveals that Return on Assets has a mean of .4665; and it also has a standard deviation of 3.64295. In addition, Return on Assets figures range from a maximum of 28.16 to a minimum of -1.27.

Accruals Quality reported a mean of 96.8063 and 178.94570 was reported as standard deviation with maximum and minimum figures of 854.99 and -40.75 respectively.

TABLE 4.2B: CORRELATION

		Accruals	ROA
		Quality	
Accruals	Pearson	1	066
	Correlation		
Quality	Sig. (2-tailed)		.615
-	N	60	60
ROA	Pearson	066	1
	Correlation		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.615	
	Ν	60	60

Table 4.2B above indicated a negative and insignificant relationship between Accruals Quality and Return on Assets

TABLE 4.2C: REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Model		Unstandardize d		t	Sig.
		Coeffi	cients		
		В	Std.		
			Error		
1	Constant	.597	.539	1.10 7	.273
	Accruals	-	.003	505	.615
	Quality	.001	.505	.505	.015

a. Dependent Variable: Return on assets

Statistic	Value
R	.066ª
R ²	.004
Adjusted R ²	013
Values Explained by other	99.6%
variables	
Std. Error of the Estimate	3.66617
F-Statistics	.255
Sig.	.615 ^b

OTHER VALUES OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS

The above regression analysis result reveals the effect of Accruals Quality on Return on Assets. R² reveals that 0.4% of variations in criterion variable of Return on Assets are explained by the variations in the predictor variable of Accruals Quality. The implication of this outcome

Is that the remaining 99.6% is explained by other variables not included in the model. The adjusted R^2 value of -1.3% is slightly below the R^2 of 0.4%.

The p-value associated with the F-value (0.615⁾ is higher than the alpha level (0.05) thereby indicating the fact that Accruals quality cannot reliably predict ROA. Furthermore, comparing the t-value to the p-value (sig), the p-value is more than the alpha (0.05) thereby indicating that the coefficients are statistically insignificant?

Collinearity Diagnostics^a

Model	Dimension	Eigenvalue	Condition Index
1	1	1.479	1.000
	2	.521	1.685

In addition, the collinearity diagnostic confirms the absence of serious collinearity problems. The Eigenvalues are not close to 0 indicating that the standardized and unstandardized predictors are not highly inter-correlated. Hence small changes in the data values will not lead to large changes in the coefficients of ROA estimates. In addition, the condition indices reveal that values are less than 15 thereby indicating no problem with collinearity.

Test of Heterostadasticity

	Breusch-Pagan
F-statistic	0.301
Sig	0.585

Furthermore, the scatter plot of residuals against accruals quality (for normality) reveals the absence of heterostadasticity deduced by the non-identification of any pattern. A formal check was done using the Breusch-Pagan test which reveals that the F-statistic (0.301) is less than the significant value (0.585); hence we accept the null hypothesis that there is no heterostadasticity problem in the model formulated.

Thus, the hypothesis of the present study states that Accruals Quality does not have a significant relationship with Return on Assets. The correlation result of -0.66 indicates a negative but insignificant correlation, which entails that Accruals Quality cannot influence Return on Assets in oil sub-sector of the Oil and Gas Industry. Consequently, the null hypothesis is not rejected, while the alternative hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, we could state that Accruals Quality has no significant relationship with Return on Assets.

CORRELATION BETWEEN ACCRUALS QUALITY (AQ) AND RETURN ON ASSETS (ROA)

H0: Accruals Quality does not have a significant relationship with Return on Assets. The above hypothesis sought to examine the correlation between Accruals Quality and Return on Assets. The regression analysis predicted a negative relationship between Accruals Quality and Return on Assets (see tables 4.2A, 4.2B and 4.2C). The outcome of the R-squared shows that

UNIPORTJAB

0.4% of variations in criterion variable of Return on Assets are explained by the differences in the predictor variable of Accruals Quality.

Therefore, because the explanation variable is grossly less than 50%, it reveals that the model does not have a good fit. Also, the tested hypothesis using the Pearson's Product Moment correlation coefficient statistical tool gave a value of 0.066 to show that no correlation exist between accruals quality and return on assets. Consequently, it was stated that Accruals Quality does not have a significant relationship with Return on Assets.

This finding is in line with the views of McDermott (2011) who examined the relationship between financial reporting quality and return on assets. He realized a negative association between the variables for firms operating in settings with higher likelihood of over-investments. Furthermore, Lopes et al (2011) examined the mechanical relationship between accounting quality (measured through abnormal accruals) and financial performance (measured through Return on Assets). The research revealed a negative but insignificant relationship between abnormal accruals and return on assets for two consecutive years studied.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Conclusively, the study has provided both empirical as well as statistical evidence on the utility of accruals quality in explaining and predicting the return on assets of the Nigerian listed oil firms.

POLICY IMPLICATION

The significant gap between the research knowledge and current policy is due to the fact that policy-makers and practitioners may not know about important research findings and they tend to apply only findings that agree with their preferred policies. We hope that our empirical findings will be of interest to researchers, standard setters and other regulators, government officials in emerging markets, managers, and others involved in improving economic conditions of Nigeria through the oil and gas sector.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

The research is limited to the study of Financial Reporting Quality in terms of Accruals Quality and Financial Performance in the Oil Sector of the Nigerian Economy instead of an economy-wide research. A broad research of the phenomena should include the Financial, Manufacturing, Agricultural, Construction/Real Estates, Conglomerates, Consumer Goods, Healthcare, ICT, Industrial Goods, Natural resources and Service Sectors. However, maximum care was deployed in drawing inferences from the research and results were concluded with ease in line with the purpose of the study.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES

Further studies should be carried out in the following areas:

- 1. Financial reporting quality and efficiency of Government Agencies in Nigeria.
- 2. Financial reporting quality and corporate stability of manufacturing firms in Nigeria.
- Qualitative characteristics of financial reporting and corporate performance of listed firms in Nigeria.

REFERENCES

- Aboody, D., Hughes, J., and Liu, J. (2005). Earnings quality, insider trading, and cost of capital. Journal of Accounting Research. 43, 651–673.
- Adebayo, A. (2005). Financial reporting an aid to efficient economic management in the public sector. The Nigerian Accountant, January/March, 33.
- Adeyemi A. Adekunle and Asaolu, Taiwo (2013). An empirical investigation of the financial reporting practices and banks' stability in Nigeria. Journal of Business and Management Review
- Ahmed, A. S. and Duellman, S. (2011). Evidence on the role of accounting conservatism in monitoring managers' investment decisions. Accounting and Finance, 51 (3): 6090-633.
- Akintoye I R (2002). Principle of project analysis, evaluation and management. 1st Edition. Lagos: Unique Educational Publishers.
- Alexander, D and Britton, A (1999). Financial reporting. London, International Thomson Business Press.
- Alexander D (2000). Financial reporting. 5th Edition. International Thomson Business Press.
- Anyanwu, A. (2000). Research methodology in business and social sciences, Owerri, Nigeria: Canun Publishers, Nig. Ltd.
- **Baker, M., Stein, J., and Wurgler, J. (2003).** When does the market matter? Stock prices and the investment of equity-dependent firms. Quarterly Journal of Economics.118, 969–1005.
- **Ball, R., S. Kothari, A. Robin. (2000).** the effect of international institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings. Journal of Accounting and Economics 29 (1): 1-51.
- Baridam, D. M. (2001). Research methods in administrative sciences (3rd edition), Port Harcourt, Nigeria: Sherbrooke Associates.
- **Beatty, Anne and Joseph Weber (2003).** The effects of debt contracting on voluntary accounting method changes, The Accounting Review, vol. 78, no. 1. . 119-142.
- Bens, D., Nagar, V. and Wong, M. F. H. (2002). Real investment implications of employee stock option exercises. Journal of Accounting Research, 40 (2): 359-406.
- Bharath, S., J. Sunder, and S. V. Sunder, 2008, Accounting quality and debt contracting, The Accounting Review, 83, 1-28.
- Bhattacharya, U., Daouk H. and Welker M. (2003). The world price of earnings opacity. Accounting Review 78, 641-678.
- **Biddle et al. (2009).** How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency? Journal of Accounting and Economic. 48, 112–131.

- **Biddle, G., and Hilary, G. (2006).** Accounting quality and firm-level capital investment. The Accounting Review. 81, 963–982.
- Bowen R.M., Rajgopal S., & Venkatachalam M (2008). Accounting discretion, corporate governance and firm performance Contemporary Accounting Research, vol. 25, 351–405.
- Bradshaw, Mark T.; Brian J. Bushee. and Gregory S. Miller (2004). Accounting choice, home bias and U.S investment in non-U.S. firms, Journal of Accounting Research, Vol. 42, no. 5, 795-840.
- Bushman, R. M. and Smith, A. J. (2001). Financial accounting information and corporate governance. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 32: 237-333.
- Bushman, R., and R. Indjejikian, (1993a). Shareholder demand for "distorted" accounting disclosures. The Accounting Review 68 (4), 765–782. 32
- **Buzby, S. L. (1975).** Company size, listed versus unlisted stocks, and the extent of financial disclosure, Journal of Accounting Research 13(1), 16 37.
- **Chan, Konan, Narasimhan Jegadeesh and Theodore Sougiannis (2004).** The accrual effect on future earnings, review of quantitative finance and accounting, vol. 22, 97–121.
- Chen, F., Hope, O. K., Li, Q. and Wang, X. (2011). Financial reporting quality and investment efficiency of private firms in emerging markets. The Accounting Review 86 (4): 1255-1288.
- Choi, T. H., and Pae, J. (2011). Business ethics and financial reporting quality: Evidence from Korea. Journal of Business Ethics 103 (3): 403-427.
- Chow, C. W., and Wong-Boren, A. (1987). Voluntary financial disclosure by mexican corporations. The Accounting Review. 62(3), 533-541.
- Christie, Andrew A. and Jerold L. Zimmerman (1994). Efficient and oportunistic choices of accounting procedures: corporate control contest, The Accounting Review, vol. 69, no. 4, 539-566.
- **Cooke, T. (1989).** Voluntary corporate disclosure by Swedish Firms, Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting, 1(2), 171 195.
- **Costello, A. M. and Wittenberg-Moerman, R. (2011).** The impact of financial reporting quality on debt contracting: evidence from internal control weakness reports. Journal of Accounting Research, 49, 97-136.
- **Creveling, J.P.(1994).** Making a case for business and marketing planning, Rough Notes, 137(3), 26-32.
- Croxton Frederick E., Crowden Dudley J. and Klein Sidney (1969). Alied general statistics, Prentice Hall Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.

D.K. Lindo, (nd). Asset management is your job, in supervision, vol. 69, issue 1, 2008, 14-18.

- Dana, S.D. (2001). Statistics and data analysis for behavioral science. New York: McGraw Hill Co.
- De Vaus, D.A. (2001). Research design in social research. London: SAGE
- Dechow, P. (1994). Earnings quality. The Research Foundation of CFA Institute.
- **Dechow, P. M. and I. Dichev. (2002).** The quality of accruals and earnings: The role of accrual estimation errors. The Accounting Review, 77 (supplement): 35-39.
- **Dechow, P. M., W. Ge, and C. Schrand. (2010).** Understanding earnings quality: A review of the proxies, their determinants and their consequences. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 50 (2-3): 344-401.
- **Defond, M. L. and Chul W. Park (2004).** Smoothing income in anticipation of future earnings, Journal of Accounting & Economics, no. 23, 115-139.
- **Doyle, J., W. Ge, and S. McVay. (2007).** Determinants of weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting. Journal of Accounting and Economics, forthcoming.
- **FengChen et al. (2010).** Financial reporting quality and investment efficiency of private firms in emerging markets. School of Management University of Toronto, 1-54.
- Fields, Thomas; Vicent Lys, and Linda Vincent (2001). Empirical research on accounting choice. Journal of Accounting & Economics, no. 31, 255-307.
- Francis, J, Nanda, D and Olsson, P. (2008). Voluntary disclosure, earnings quality, and cost of capital. Journal of Accounting Research 46 (1): 53-99.
- Garcia-Teruel, P. J., P. Martinez-Solano, and J. P. Sanchez-Ballesta (2009). Accruals quality and corporate cash holdings, Journal of Accounting & Finance, volume 49, no. 1, 95-115
- **George.W. Gallinger (2001).** A framework for financial statement analysis part 1: Return-onasset performance, in Business Credit, vol. 102, issue 2, 2000, 40-43.
- **Gopalakrishnan V. (1994).** Accounting choice decisions and unlevered firms: further evidence on debt/equity hypothesis. Journal of Financial and Strategic Decisions, vol.7, no. 3, 33-47.
- Jensen, M. (1986). Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers. American Economic Review 76: 323-329
- Jones, J.J. (1991). Earnings Management during Import Relief
- Klein, A., (2002a). Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management. Journal of Accounting and Economics 33, 375–400.
- Lambert, R., C. Leuz, and R.E. Verrecchia. (2007). Accounting information, disclosure, and the cost of capital. Journal of Accounting Research 45: 385-420.

- Lopes, Cláudia M.F.P., António Cerqueira, Elísio Brandão (2011). 'The Financial Reporting Quality effect on European Firm Performance', FEP Working Papers, Porto.
- McDermott, K (2011). Financial Reporting Quality and Investment in
- McNichols, M., (2002). Discussion of the quality of accruals and earnings: the role of accrual estimation errors. Accounting Review 77, 61-69.
- Myers, J. N., Myers, L. A., & Omer, T. C (2003). Exploring the term of the auditor client relationship and the quality of earnings: A case for mandatory auditor rotation? The Accounting Review, vol.78 (3), 779–799.
- Nwanyanwu A. Loveday (2013). Financial reporting and organizational liquidity in Nigeria: the accounting bases perspective- Research Journal of Finance and Accounting
- Schipper, K. & Vincent, L. (2003). Earnings quality, Journal of Accounting Horizons, 17, 97-110
- Shehu, U. H., (2011). Corporate governance and financial reporting quality: a study of Nigerian money deposit bank. International Journal of Research in Computer Application and Management (U.S.A), 1(6): 12-19 ISSN: 2231-1009
- **Stiglitz, J., Weiss, A. (1981).** Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. American Economic Review, 71, 393–410.
- Trochim, William M.K. (2006). Research methods knowledge base
- Verdi, R. S. (2006). Financial reporting quality and investment efficiency, working paper, SSRN Paper Series.